# **IUPAC Task Group on Atmospheric Chemical Kinetic Data Evaluation – Data Sheet SOx78**

Data sheets can be downloaded for personal use only and must not be retransmitted or disseminated either electronically or in hardcopy without explicit written permission. The citation for this data sheet is: IUPAC Task Group on Atmospheric Chemical Kinetic Data Evaluation, http://iupac.pole-ether.fr.

This data sheet last evaluated: June 2011; last change in preferred values: June 2011.

| $O(^{1}D) + SO_{2}F_{2} \rightarrow O(^{3}P) + SO_{2}F_{2}$ | (1) |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| $\rightarrow$ products                                      | (2) |

| $k/cm^3$ molecule <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>                                                                        | T/K                | Reference                               | Technique/ Comments        |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Absolute Rate Coefficients, k<br>(1.3 $\pm$ 0.2) x 10 <sup>-10</sup><br>9.0 x 10 <sup>-11</sup> exp [(98 $\pm$ 41)/T)] | 220-300<br>199-351 | Dillon et al., 2008<br>Zhao et al. 2010 | LP-LIF/RF (a)<br>LP-RF (b) |
| Relative Rate Coefficients<br>$k_2 = (4.9 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-11}$                                                   | 298                | Dillon et al., 2008                     | (c)                        |
| Branching ratios                                                                                                       |                    |                                         |                            |
| $k_1 / k_2 = 0.55 \pm 0.04$<br>$k_1 / k_2 = 0.42 \exp[(73\pm 60)/T]$                                                   | 225-296<br>199-351 | Dillon et al., 2008<br>Zhao et al. 2010 | (a)<br>(b)                 |

### Rate coefficient data ( $k = k_1 + k_2$ )

### Comments

- (a)  $O(^{1}D)$  (~ 2 × 10<sup>11</sup> molecule cm<sup>-3</sup>) was generated in the 248 nm photolysis of O<sub>3</sub> in He. In LIF experiments, the kinetics of formation of OH in a competing reaction (with pentane, hexane or H<sub>2</sub>O) was used to derive the overall rate coefficient ( $k_1 + k_2$ ). Resonance fluorescence detection was used to derive the yield of O(<sup>3</sup>P) (relative to O(<sup>1</sup>D) + N<sub>2</sub>).
- (b)  $O(^{1}D)$  was generated in the 248 nm photolysis of  $O_{3}$  in He. The kinetics of formation of  $O(^{3}P)$  was used to derive both the overall rate coefficient  $(k_{1} + k_{2})$  and the  $O(^{3}P)$  yield, which was measured relative to the known  $O(^{3}P)$  yield from  $O_{3}$  photolysis.
- (c) O<sub>3</sub> photolysed at 254 nm in a 44 L quartz reactor. Depletion of SO<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O (reference reactant) were monitored in-situ by FTIR. The 298 K rate constant ratio  $k(O(^{1}D) + SO_{2}F_{2}) / k(O(^{1}D) + N_{2}O)$  of 0.411 ± 0.012 was placed on an absolute basis using  $k(O(^{1}D) + N_{2}O \rightarrow \text{products}) = 1.19 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^{3}$  molecule<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> (IUPAC, 2011).

|             | Parameter                                              | Value                         | T/K     |
|-------------|--------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|
|             | $k/\text{cm}^3$ molecule <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> | 8.85 x $10^{-11}$ exp (106/T) | 190-350 |
|             | $k_1/k$                                                | $0.47 \exp(40/T)$             | 190-350 |
| Reliability |                                                        |                               |         |
| 2           | $\Delta \log k$                                        | ± 0.15                        | 190-350 |

## **Preferred Values**

| $\Delta E/R$               | $\pm 100$ | 190-350 |
|----------------------------|-----------|---------|
| $\Delta k_1/k$             | $\pm 0.1$ | 190-350 |
| $\Lambda (E_{-}/R_{-}E/R)$ | $\pm$ 50  |         |

Comments on Preferred Values

Both studies of the overall rate coefficient, k are in excellent agreement. The preferred, temperature dependent expression was obtained by weighted, least-squares fitting to both datasets, which results in a slight, negative activation energy. The measurements of the branching ratio for physical quenching  $(k_1/k)$  via measurements of O(<sup>3</sup>P) formation are also in good agreement, with both datasets consistent with an almost temperature independent value of  $0.55 \pm 0.05$ . The value obtained at 199 K by Zhao et al. (2010), is somewhat higher, possibly indicating an increase to lower temperatures. Fitting to both datasets results in the temperature dependence of  $k_1/k$  given in the preferred values.  $k_2$  can be calculated from:  $k_2 = k(1-k_1/k)$ .

The relative rate study of Dillon et al., was sensitive only to reaction pathways which remove  $SO_2F_2$  (i.e.  $k_2$ ). The value obtained at room temperature was  $k_2 = (4.9 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-11}$  cm<sup>3</sup> molecule<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. The value calculated from the expression for  $k_2$  given above is 5.8 x 10<sup>-11</sup> cm<sup>3</sup> molecule<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, some 18 % larger. The good agreement between relative rate values of  $k_2$  and those calculated from k and  $k_1$  which Dillon et al., reported is due to their use of a larger rate coefficient (1.4 x 10<sup>-10</sup> cm<sup>3</sup> molecule<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) for the reference reaction of O(<sup>1</sup>D) with N<sub>2</sub>O.

The products of  $k_2$  are not well characterised, though Dillon et al report formation of F atoms at an estimated yield of 20 %.

#### References

Dillon, T. J., Horowitz, L. and Crowley, J. N.: Atmos. Chem. Phys. 8, 1547-1557, 2008.

Zhao, Z. J., Laine, P. L., Nicovich, J. M. and Wine, P. H.: Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 107, 6610-6615, 2010.



Upper curve: Measured overal rate coefficients (*k*) and Arrhenius fit to both datasets. Lower curve:  $k_2$  (calculated from preferred values of *k* and  $k_1 / k$ )



Measured values of  $k_1 / k$  and Arrhenius fit to both datasets.