#### IUPAC Subcommittee on Gas Kinetic Data Evaluation – Data Sheet ROO\_26

Website: <u>http://www.iupac-kinetic.ch.cam.ac.uk/</u>. See website for latest evaluated data. Datasheets can be downloaded for personal use only and must not be retransmitted or disseminated either electronically or in hardcopy without explicit written permission. This datasheet updated: 12<sup>th</sup> November 2002.

# $C_{2}H_{5}O_{2} + CH_{3}C(O)O_{2} \rightarrow C_{2}H_{5}O + CH_{3}C(O)O + O$ (1) $\rightarrow CH_{3}CHO + CH_{3}C(O)OH + O_{2}$ (2)

 $\Delta H^{\circ}(1) = -23.6 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$  $\Delta H^{\circ}(2) = -398.5 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ 

#### Rate coefficient data ( $k = k_1 + k_2$ )

$k/\mathrm{cm}^3$ molecule <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	Temp./K	Reference	Technique/ Comments
Absolute Rate Coefficients $(1.0 \pm 0.3) \ge 10^{-11}$ $5 \ge 10^{-13} \exp[(1070\pm 200)/T]$ $2.2 \ge 10^{-11}$	298 220-440 295	Villenave and Lesclaux, 1996 <sup>1</sup> Maricq and Szente, 2000 <sup>2</sup>	FP-UVAS (a) PLP-UVAS (b)

### Comments

- (a) Flash photolysis of Cl<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>-CH<sub>3</sub>CHO-O<sub>2</sub> mixtures. CH<sub>3</sub>C(O)O<sub>2</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>O<sub>2</sub> radical concentrations were determined by fitting absorption-time data at 207 nm and 240 nm, using cross- sections recommended in Lightfoot *et al.*<sup>3</sup> Complex reaction mechanism with  $\alpha_c(=k_1/k)$  assumed to be 0.82. The overall uncertainty was estimated as 54%.
- (b) Pulsed laser photolysis at 351 nm of CH<sub>3</sub>CHO-C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>-Cl<sub>2</sub>-O<sub>2</sub>-N<sub>2</sub> mixtures at total pressures of 166 mbar to 190 mbar (126 Torr to 144 Torr). The reaction progress was monitored by time-resolved UV absorption over the range 200 nm to 300 nm. Values of *k* were derived by fitting the spectral profiles using a detailed model. The cross-sections for the principal absorbing radicals (CH<sub>3</sub>C(O)O<sub>2</sub>, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, and CH<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) were taken from the studies of Maricq and Szente<sup>4</sup> and Maricq and Wallington.<sup>5</sup> A satisfactory fit to the spectra could be obtained using values of  $\alpha_c(=k_1/k)$  in the range 1.0-0.80.

# **Preferred Values**

 $k = 1.6 \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ at } 298 \text{ K}.$  $k = 4.4 \times 10^{-13} \exp(1070/T) \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ over the temperature range } 220 \text{ K to } 440 \text{ K}.$ 

# Reliability

 $\Delta \log k = \pm 0.5$  at 298 K.  $\Delta (E/R) = \pm 500$  K

# Comments on Preferred Values

The two available experimental studies of this reaction report values of the rate coefficient at 298 K differing by a factor of 2. In both cases substantial error limits were assigned reflecting the difficulties in deconvoluting overlapping UV spectra and in accounting for complex secondary chemistry in deriving the rate coefficient. Villenave and Leclaux<sup>1</sup> based their results on absorption

measurements at only two wavelengths, whereas Maricq and Szente<sup>2</sup> used spectra covering the range 200 nm to 300 nm but, until more definitive measurements are available, the preferred value at 298 K is taken as the mean of the studies of Villenave and Lesclaux<sup>1</sup> and of Maricq and Szente,<sup>2</sup> with substantial error limits. The only determination of the temperature dependence of *k* is that of Maricq and Szente<sup>2</sup> who obtained a value of E/R of -1070 K, which is consistent with values found for analogous reactions. The preferred expression for *k* is obtained by combining the temperature dependence found by Maricq and Szente<sup>2</sup> with an *A*-factor chosen to fit the preferred value of *k* at 298 K. Channel (1) is likely to be the main reaction channel at 298 K.

## References

- <sup>1</sup> E. Villenave and R. Lesclaux, J. Phys. Chem. **100**, 14372 (1996).
- <sup>2</sup> M. M. Maricq and J. J. Szente, J. Phys. Chem. A **104**, 7239 (2000).
- <sup>3</sup> P. D. Lightfoot, R. A. Cox, J. N. Crowley, M. Destriau, G. D. Hayman, M. E. Jenkin, G. K. Moortgat and F. Zabel, Atmos. Environ. **26A**, 1805 (1992).
- <sup>4</sup> M. M. Maricq and J. J. Szente, J. Phys. Chem. **100**, 4507 (1996).
- <sup>5</sup> M. M. Maricq and T. J. Wallington, J. Phys. Chem. **96**, 986 (1992).