IUPAC Subcommittee on Gas Kinetic Data Evaluation – Data Sheet ROO 22

Website: http://www.iupac-kinetic.ch.cam.ac.uk/. See website for latest evaluated data. Datasheets can be downloaded for personal use only and must not be retransmitted or disseminated either electronically or in hardcopy without explicit written permission.

This datasheet updated: 12th November 2002.

$$CH3O2 + CH3C(O)O2 \rightarrow CH3O + CH3C(O)O + O2$$

$$\rightarrow CH3C(O)OH + HCHO + O2$$
(1)

$$\Delta H^{\circ}(1) = -27.3 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$$

 $\Delta H^{\circ}(2) = -378 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$

Rate coefficient data $(k = k_1 + k_2)$

k/cm³ molecule ⁻¹ s ⁻¹	Temp./K	Reference	Technique/ Comments
Absolute Rate Coefficients	298	Dook! Down and Magnesst 1006	DID INVAC (a)
$k_1 = (8.8 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-12}$ $k_2 = (1.0 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-12}$	298 298	Roehl, Bauer, and Moortgat, 1996 ¹	PLP-UVAS (a)
$8.5 \times 10^{-13} \exp[(726 \pm 25)/T]$	209-358	Maricq and Szente, 1996 ²	PLP-UVAS (b)
$(1.0 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-11}$ $(8.2 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-12}$	298	Willemann and Lagglerin 10063	ED IIVAC (a)
$(8.2 \pm 0.6) \times 10$	298	Villenave and Lesclaux, 1996 ³	FP-UVAS (c)

Comments

- (a) Acetyl radicals were produced from the photolysis of Cl₂-CH₃CHO-O₂ mixtures. CH₃O₂ radicals were produced as secondary products, or through added CH₄. k depends on σ [CH₃C(O)O₂] for which values of 3.21 x 10⁻¹⁸ cm² molecule⁻¹ at 240 nm and 6.67 x 10⁻¹⁸ cm² molecule⁻¹ at 207 nm were obtained, relative to the UV spectrum of $C_2H_5O_2$ [$\sigma(240)$ nm) = $4.36 \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ molecule}^{-1}$]. A value of $k_1/k = 0.9$ was used in the data analysis.
- (b) Technique as for (a) but with UV spectra recorded on a gated diode array spectrometer. $\sigma[CH_3C(O)O_2] = 6.5 \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ at } 206 \text{ nm and } 2.9 \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ at}$ 250 nm. On the basis of the HCHO kinetics observed it was concluded that Channel (2) was dominant over the entire temperature range covered (209 K to 358 K). A value of $k_1/k = 0$ was used in the data analysis.
- (c) CH₃O₂ radicals were generated simultaneously with CH₃C(O)O₂ radicals from the flash photolysis of Cl_2 - CH_3 CHO- CH_4 - O_2 mixtures. In the derivation of k, the branching ratio, $\alpha_c(=k_1/k)$ was assumed to be 0.65 but k was found to vary by less than 15% upon varying α between 0.5 and 1.0. Overall uncertainty was estimated to be 42% from error propagation analysis. A value of $k_1/k = 0.65$ was used in the data analysis.

Preferred Values

$$k = 1.1 \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ at } 298 \text{ K}.$$

 $k = 2.0 \times 10^{-12} \exp(500/T) \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ over the temperature range } 200 \text{ K to } 350 \text{ K}.$
 $k_1/k = 0.9$; $k_2/k = 0.1$ at 298 K.

Reliability

 $\Delta \log k = \pm 0.15 \text{ at } 298 \text{ K}.$

$$\Delta(E/R) = \pm 250 \text{ K}.$$

 $\Delta(k_1/k) = \Delta(k_2/k) = \pm 0.1 \text{ at } 298 \text{ K}.$

Comments on Preferred Values

The three cited studies, which all used UV spectroscopy with similar values of the relevant absorption cross-sections to monitor the progress of the reaction are, apparently, in good agreement. However, the values of k derived depend upon the value of k_1/k used in the data analysis and since the three studies used values ranging from 0 to 0.9 their close agreement must be, to some degree, fortuitous. Tyndall $et\ al.^4$ show that, using our preferred value for k_1/k , the studies of Roehl $et\ al.^1$ Maricq and Szente² and Villenave and Lesclaux,³ give values of k in the range (0.98-2) x 10^{-11} cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹. We accept the analysis of Tyndall $et\ al.^4$ and take the value of k which they recommend, based on the studies of Villenave and Lesclaux³ and Roehl $et\ al.^1$ as our preferred value at 298 K. The temperature dependence is based on that observed for analogous reactions.

There is conflicting evidence on the branching ratios. At 298 K Moortgat *et al.*⁵ estimate that $k_1/k = 0.5$, whilst Roehl *et al.*¹ find that $k_1/k = 0.9$ and Maricq and Szente² conclude that, essentially, the reaction proceeds entirely by Channel (2). Further information comes from a study of Crawford *et al.*⁶ on the HO₂+CH₃C(O)O₂ reaction in which the yields of the products CH₃C(O)OOH and CH₃C(O)OH were monitored. Tyndall *et al.*⁴ have reanalysed the results reported by Crawford *et al.*⁶ and have shown that the product yields observed require that the CH₃O₂+CH₃C(O)O₂ reaction, which could also contribute to the CH₃C(O)OH in the experiments of Crawford *et al.*,⁶ must occur with k_1/k close to unity. Based on the studies of Moortgat *et al.*,⁵ Horie and Moortgat,⁷ and Roehl *et al.*,¹ Tyndall *et al.*⁴ recommend values of $k_1/k = 0.9$ and $k_2/k = 0.1$ at 298 K. These are adopted as our preferred values for the branching ratios. Moortgat *et al.*⁵ have reported values for the temperature dependence of k_1 and k_2 but they imply a difference in activation energy for the two channels of 32 kJ mol⁻¹ which is much greater than found for analogous peroxy radical reactions. No recommendation is made for the temperature dependences of the branching ratios at this stage.

References

- ¹ C. M. Roehl, D. Bauer, and C. K. Moortgat, J. Phys. Chem. **100**, 4038 (1996).
- ² M. M. Maricq and J. J. Szente, J. Phys. Chem. **100**, 4507 (1996).
- ³ E. Villenave and R. Lesclaux, J. Phys. Chem. **100**, 14372 (1996).
- G. S. Tyndall, R. A. Cox, C. Granier, R. Lesclaux, G. K. Moortgat, M. J. Pilling, A. R. Ravishankara, and T. J. Wallington, J. Geophys. Res. **106**, 12157 (2001).
- ⁵ G. K. Moortgat, B. Veyret, and R. Lesclaux, J. Phys. Chem. **93**, 2362 (1989).
- ⁶ M. A, Crawford, T. J. Wallington, M. M. szente, M. M. Maricq, and J. S. Francisco, J. Phys. Chem. A **103**, 365 (1999).
- O. Horie and G. K. Moortgat, J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. 88, 3305 (1992).