Task Group on Atmospheric Chemical Kinetic Data Evaluation – Data Sheet Ox_VOC7

Website: <u>http://iupac.pole-ether.fr</u>. See website for latest evaluated data. Datasheets can be downloaded for personal use only and must not be retransmitted or disseminated either electronically or in hardcopy without explicit written permission. This datasheet last evaluated: December 2007 (with no revision of the preferred values).

k/cm^3 molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹ Temp./K Reference Technique/ Comments Absolute Rate Coefficients 1.27 x 10⁻¹⁷ Arnts and Gay, 1979 S-CL/GC (a) 295 ± 1 7.0 x 10⁻¹⁸ Adeniji et al., 1981 260 ± 2 S-CL 1.65 x 10⁻¹⁷ 294 ± 2 1.1×10^{-17} 291 Kamens et al., 1982 (b) 278-323 Atkinson et al., 1982 S-CL $1.54 \ge 10^{-14} \exp[-(2153 \pm 430)/T]$ $(1.17 \pm 0.19) \ge 10^{-17}$ 296 ± 2 $7.8 \ge 10^{-15} \exp[-(1913 \pm 139)/T]$ 242-323 Treacy et al., 1992 S-UVA $(1.28 \pm 0.12) \ge 10^{-17}$ 298 $(8.95 \pm 0.25) \times 10^{-18}$ Grosjean et al., 1993 S-UVA 293 ± 2 $(1.13 \pm 0.32) \ge 10^{-17}$ 291 ± 2 Grosjean and Grosjean, 1996 S-UVA $(1.19 \pm 0.09) \ge 10^{-17}$ Klawatsch-Carrasco et al., S-FTIR 293 ± 2 2004 $(9.6 \pm 0.7) \ge 10^{-18}$ 286 Karl et al., 2004 S-UV/GCMS Relative Rate Coefficients $(1.16 \pm 0.02) \ge 10^{-17}$ Greene and Atkinson, 1992 RR (c) 296 ± 2 9.21 x 10⁻¹⁵ exp[-(1955 \pm 42)/T] 257-324 Khamaganov and Hites, RR (d,e) 2001 $(1.29 \pm 0.08) \ge 10^{-17}$ 298 $1.62 \ge 10^{-14} \exp[-(2078 \pm 120)/T]$ 242-324 Khamaganov and Hites, RR (d,f) 2001 $(1.50 \pm 0.13) \ge 10^{-17}$ 298 RR (d,g) $1.24 \ge 10^{-14} \exp[-(2050 \pm 87)/T]$ Khamaganov and Hites, 242-324 2001 298 $(1.26 \pm 0.07) \ge 10^{-17}$ $9.45 \ge 10^{-15} \exp[-(1963 \pm 72)/T]$ 258-324 Khamaganov and Hites, RR (d,h) 2001 $(1.35 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-17}$ 298 $1.36 \ge 10^{-14} \exp[-(2015 \pm 149)/T]$ 278-353 Avzianova and Ariya, 2002 RR(i) $(1.22 \pm 0.03) \ge 10^{-17}$ 298

Rate coefficient data

 $O_3 + CH_2 = C(CH_3)CH = CH_2 \rightarrow products$

Comments

- (a) Carried out in one atmosphere of air in 330 liter volume reaction chambers. The O₃ and isoprene concentrations were measured by chemiluminescence and GC, respectively.
- (b) Carried out at atmospheric pressure of air in a large outdoor chamber at night. O₃ was introduced continually over a period of 1.4 hr to an isoprene-air mixture. The isoprene and O₃ concentrations

were monitored by GC and chemiluminescence, respectively, and the rate coefficient obtained by fitting the measured isoprene and O_3 concentrations to a computer model.

- (c) Relative rate study, with *n*-octane being present to scavenge the OH radicals formed from the O₃ reactions. The concentrations of isoprene and propene (the reference compound) were measured by GC. The measured rate coefficient ratio of $k(O_3 + \text{isoprene})/k(O_3 + \text{propene}) = 1.21 \pm 0.02$ is placed on an absolute basis by use of a rate coefficient of $k(O_3 + \text{propene}) = 9.6 \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^3$ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹ at 296 K (IUPAC, current recommendation).
- (d) Relative rate method carried out at 760 Torr (1.013 bar) of helium diluent in a 192 cm³ volume cylindrical quartz reactor, with ethanol or acetaldehyde being present to scavenge the OH radicals formed. The concentrations of isoprene and the reference compound were monitored by MS.
- (e) With 2-methylpropene as the reference compound. The measured rate coefficient ratios $k(O_3 + isoprene)/k(O_3 + 2-methylpropene)$ are placed on an absolute basis using a rate coefficient of $k(O_3 + 2-methylpropene) = 2.70 \times 10^{-15} \exp(-1632/T) \text{ cm}^3$ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹ (Atkinson, 1997). Note that in Table 3 of Khamaganov and Hites (2001), the rate coefficient ratios stated to be relative to 2-methylpropene appear (Table 7 and Figure 4) to be relative to 1-butene, and *vice versa*.
- (f) With *cis*-2-butene as the reference compound. The measured rate coefficient ratios $k(O_3 + isoprene)/k(O_3 + cis$ -2-butene) are placed on an absolute basis by use of a rate coefficient of $k(O_3 + cis$ -2-butene) = $3.22 \times 10^{-15} \exp(-968/T) \text{ cm}^3$ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹ (Atkinson, 1997).
- (g) With 1-butene as the reference compound. The measured rate coefficient ratios [see Comment (e)] $k(O_3 + isoprene)/k(O_3 + 1-butene)$ are placed on an absolute basis by use of a rate coefficient of $k(O_3 + 1-butene) = 3.36 \times 10^{-15} \exp(-1744/T) \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (Atkinson, 1997).
- (h) With 2,3-dimethyl-1,3-butadiene as the reference compound. The measured rate coefficient ratios $k(O_3 + isoprene)/k(O_3 + 2,3-dimethyl-1,3-butadiene)$ are placed on an absolute basis by use of a rate coefficient of $k(O_3 + 2,3-dimethyl-1,3-butadiene) = 6.9 \times 10^{-15} \exp(-1668/T) \text{ cm}^3$ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹ (Atkinson, 1997).
- (i) Relative rate method carried out at 760 Torr (1.013 bar) of air diluent in a 3 liter volume Pyrex reactor, with 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene being present to scavenge the OH radicals formed. The concentrations of isoprene and propene (the reference compound) were monitored by GC. The measured rate coefficient ratios $k(O_3 + isoprene)/k(O_3 + propene)$, which were not tabulated, are placed on an absolute basis by use of a rate coefficient of $k(O_3 + propene) = 5.5 \times 10^{-15} \exp(-1880/T) \text{ cm}^3$ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹ (IUPAC, current recommendation). Note that the 298 K rate coefficient calculated from the Arrhenius expression is 1.57 x 10⁻¹⁷ cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹, 29% higher than the measured value (see table).

Preferred Values

 $k = 1.27 \text{ x } 10^{-17} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ at } 298 \text{ K}.$

 $k = 1.03 \times 10^{-14} \exp(-1995/T) \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ over the temperature range } 240-360 \text{ K}.$

Reliability

 $\Delta \log k = \pm 0.08$ at 298 K. $\Delta (E/R) = \pm 200$ K.

Comments on Preferred Values

The measured room temperature rate coefficients are in good agreement, as are measured temperature dependencies (Atkinson et al., 1982; Treacy et al., 1992; Khamaganov and Hites, 2001; Avzianova and Ariya, 2002). The preferred temperature dependence is the average of those determined by Treacy et al. (1992), Khamaganov and Hites (2001) and Avzianova and Ariya (2002). The preferred 298 K rate coefficient is the average of the rate coefficients of Atkinson et al. (1982), Treacy et al. (1992), Greene and Atkinson (1992), Grosjean et al. (1993), Grosjean and Grosjean

(1996), Khamaganov and Hites (2001), Avzianova and Ariya (2002), Klawatsch-Carrasco et al. (2004) and Karl et al. (2004), adjusted to 298 K where necessary (Atkinson et al., 1982; Greene and Atkinson, 1992; Grosjean et al., 1993; Grosjean and Grosjean, 1996; Klawatsch-Carrasco et al., 2004; Karl et al., 2004) using the preferred temperature dependence. The preferred temperature dependence is combined with the preferred rate coefficient at 298 K to obtain the pre-exponential factor.

The reaction proceeds by initial addition of O_3 to the C=C bonds to form one of two primary ozonides, which rapidly decompose to form four possible sets of carbonyl + Criegee intermediate (see data sheets on the reactions of O_3 with ethene and propene; IUPAC, 2007). Methacrolein, methyl vinyl ketone and formaldehyde are significant products of this reaction, with measured formation yields of 39-44%, 16-17%, and 90%, respectively (Atkinson and Arey, 2003). HO radicals are also formed from the reaction of O_3 with isoprene, in 25% yield (IUPAC, current recommendation).

References

Adeniji, S. A., Kerr, J. A. and Williams, M. R.: Int. J. Chem. Kinet., 13, 209, 1981.

Arnts, R. R. and Gay Jr., B. W.: Photochemistry of Some Naturally Emitted Hydrocarbons, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Report No. EPA-600/3-79-081, Environmental Sciences Research Laboratory, Office of Research and Development, Research Triangle Park, NC, September 1979.

Atkinson, R., Winer, A. M. and Pitts Jr., J. N.: Atmos. Environ., 16, 1017, 1982.

Atkinson, R.: J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 26, 215, 1997.

Atkinson, R. and Arey, J.: Atmos. Environ., 37 (Suppl. 2), S197, 2003.

Avzianova, E. V. and Ariya, P. A.: Int. J. Chem. Kinet., 34, 678, 2002.

Greene, C. R. and Atkinson, R.,: Int. J. Chem. Kinet., 24, 803, 1992.

Grosjean, D., Williams II, E. L. and Grosjean, E.: Environ. Sci. Technol., 27, 830, 1993.

Grosjean, E. and Grosjean, D.: Int. J. Chem. Kinet., 28, 911, 1996.

IUPAC,: http://iupac.pole-ether.fr, 2013.

Kamens, R. M., Gery, M. W., Jeffries, H. E., Jackson, M. and Cole, E. I.: Int. J. Chem. Kinet., 14, 955, 1982.

Karl, M., Brauers, Th., Dorn, H.-P., Holland, F., Komenda, M., Poppe, D., Rohrer, F., Rupp, L., Schaub, A. and Wahner, A.: Geophys. Res. Lett., 31, L05117, doi: 10.1029/2003GL019189, 2004.

Khamaganov, V. G. and Hites, R. A.: J. Phys. Chem. A, 105, 815, 2001.

Klawatsch-Carrasco, N., Doussin, J. F. and Carlier, P.: Int. J. Chem. Kinet., 36, 152, 2004.

Treacy, J., El Haag, M., O'Farrell, D. and Sidebottom, H.: Ber. Bunsenges. Phys. Chem., 96, 422, 1992.



- --- Treacy et al. (1992) Arrhenius fit
- --- Avzianova and Ariya (2002) Arrhenius fit
 - Arnts and Gay (1979)
- ▼ Adeniji et al. (1981)
- Kamens et al. (1982)
- ♦ Atkinson et al. (1982)
- Treacy et al. (1992), at 298 K
- Greene and Atkinson (1992)
- Grosjean et al. (1993)
- ♥ Grosjean and Grosjean (1996)
- Khamaganov and Hites (2001) vs 2-methylpropene
- Khamaganov and Hites (2001), vs cis-2-butene
- ▲ Khamaganov and Hites (2001), vs 1-butene
- Khamaganov and Hites (2001), vs 2,3-dimethyl-1,3-butadiene
- Avzianova and Ariya (2002), at 298 K
- ▼ Klawatsch-Carrasco et al. (2004)
- Karl et al. (2004)

