## IUPAC Subcommittee on Gas Kinetic Data Evaluation – Data Sheet NO3 VOC13

Website: <a href="http://www.iupac-kinetic.ch.cam.ac.uk/">http://www.iupac-kinetic.ch.cam.ac.uk/</a>. See website for latest evaluated data. Datasheets can be downloaded for personal use only and must not be retransmitted or disseminated either electronically or in hardcopy without explicit written permission. This datasheet updated: 25<sup>th</sup> November 2004.

# $NO_3 + (CH_3)_2 CHCHO \rightarrow products$

#### Rate coefficient data

k/cm³ molecule-1 s-1	Temp./K	Reference	Technique/ Comments
Absolute Rate Coefficients			
2.9 x $10^{-12}$ exp[- $(1685 \pm 120)/T$ ] (0.9±0.1) x $10^{-14}$	264-364 298	Ullestram, Langer and Ljungstrom, 2000	DF-LPA (a)
1.0 x $10^{-10}$ exp[-(2598 ± 384)/T] (1.61 ± 0.13) x $10^{-14}$	298-433 298	Cabanas et al., 2003	DF-LIF (b)
Relative Rate Coefficients			
$(1.26 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-14}$	298	D'Anna and Neilsen, 1997	RR-FTIR (c)
$(1.20 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-14}$	298	Ullestram, Langer and Ljungstrom, 2000	RR-FTIR (d)

### **Comments**

- (a) NO<sub>3</sub> radicals were generated by F + HNO<sub>3</sub> reaction at 2.5 mbar total pressure and monitored by long path absorption at 661.8 nm; psudo first order conditions. Experiments conducted with and without 20% O<sub>2</sub> added to the He carrier gas to inhibit suspected secondary reactions. With added O<sub>2</sub> the rate coefficients were approximately a factor of 3 lower, but showed a similar  $E_a$ . The cited 298 K value was obtained in the presence of O<sub>2</sub>; the Arrhenius expression was obtained by correction of all data for contribution of secondary reactions.
- (b) NO<sub>3</sub> radicals were generated by F + HNO<sub>3</sub> reaction at 1.5 mbar total pressure, and monitored by LIF excited at 662 nm. Secondary reactions were considered to be unimportant but no supporting tests were reported.
- (c) Relative rate method carried out at 1013 mbar pressure of air. NO<sub>3</sub> radicals were generated by thermal decomposition of N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>. The concentrations of 2-methylpropanal and 1-butene (the reference compound) were measured by GC. The resulting rate coefficient ratio of  $k(NO_3 + 2\text{-methylpropanal})/k(NO_3 + 1\text{-butene}) = 0.93 \pm 0.10$  is placed on an absolute basis by use of a rate coefficient of  $k(NO_3 + 1\text{-butene}) = 1.35 \times 10^{-14}$  cm<sup>3</sup> molecule<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> at 298 K (Atkinson, 1997).
- (d) Relative rate method carried out at 1013 mbar pressure of air. NO<sub>3</sub> radicals were generated by thermal decomposition of N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>. The concentrations of 2-methylpropane and propenal (the reference compound) were measured by GC. The resulting rate coefficient ratio of  $k(NO_3 + 2\text{-methylpropanal})/k(NO_3 + propene) = 1.3 \pm 0.10$  is placed on an absolute basis by use of a rate coefficient of  $k(NO_3 + \text{propene}) = 9.5 \pm 1.2 \times 10^{-15}$  cm<sup>3</sup> molecule<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> at 298 K (IUPAC, 2004).

#### **Preferred Values**

```
k = 1.25 \times 10^{-14} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ at } 298 \text{ K}.

k = 1.67 \times 10^{-12} \exp(-1460/T) \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ over the temperature range } 260-420 \text{ K}.
```

Reliability

 $\Delta \log k = \pm 0.2 \text{ at } 298 \text{ K.}$  $\Delta (E/R) = \pm 300 \text{ K.}$ 

# Comments on Preferred Values

The room temperature rate coefficients from relative rate measurements are in excellent agreement. The results of the absolute determinations are in less good agreement and are higher; the measurements are complicated by secondary reactions leading to excessive  $NO_3$  loss. Ullestram et al. (2000) show that the observed rate coefficient is reduced in the presence of  $O_2$  due to suppression the secondary reactions. Their value of k at 298 K with  $O_2$  present is within experimental uncertainty of the relative rate values. The preferred value at 298 K is a mean of the values from the relative rate studies.

The temperature dependence of the rate coefficient was only investigated in the absolute studies. Ullestram et al.(2000) observed a similar activation energy both with and without O2 present suggesting that the temperature dependence is not influenced significantly by secondary reactions. The data of Cabanas et al. above 298 K actually agree well with those of Ullestram et al.(2000) (without O2); their apparently larger temperature dependence and unreasonably high A-factor, results from inclusion of the lower 298 K value, which must be considered suspect without proper correction for secondary reactions. The preferred temperature dependence adopts the E/R value of Ullestram et al.(2000) with an A factor adjusted to give the preferred value of k at 298 K.

The reaction products are likely to be  $HNO_3 + (CH_3)_2CHC(O)$ .

## References

Atkinson, R.: J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 26, 215, 1997.

Cabanas, B., Salgado, S., Martin, M. T., Albaladejo, J. and Martinez, E.: Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 5, 112, 2003.

D'Anna, B., Andresen, O., Gefen, Z. and Nielsen, C. J.: Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 3, 3057, 2001.

Ullestram, M., Langer, S. and E. Ljungstrom,: Int. J. Chem. Kinet. 28, 925, 1996. IUPAC, <a href="http://www.iupac-kinetic.ch.cam.ac.uk/">http://www.iupac-kinetic.ch.cam.ac.uk/</a>, 2004.

