# **IUPAC Task Group on Atmospheric Chemical Kinetic Data Evaluation – Data Sheet HOx VOC23**

Website: <u>http://iupac.pole-ether.fr</u>. See website for latest evaluated data. Data sheets can be downloaded for personal use only and must not be retransmitted or disseminated either electronically or in hardcopy without explicit written permission.

This data sheet last evaluated: 2<sup>nd</sup> August 2007; no revision of preferred values.

# $HO + CH_{3}OH \rightarrow H_{2}O + CH_{2}OH$ $\rightarrow H_{2}O + CH_{3}O$

(1) (2)

 $\Delta H^{\circ}(1) = -95.2 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$  $\Delta H^{\circ}(2) = -60.2 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ 

$k/cm^3$ molecule <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	Temp./K	Reference	Technique/ Comments
Absolute Rate Coefficients			
$(1.06 \pm 0.10) \ge 10^{-12}$	$296 \pm 2$	Overend and Paraskevopoulos, 1978	FP-RA
$(1.00 \pm 0.10) \ge 10^{-12}$	298	Ravishankara and Davis, 1978	FP-RF
4.8 x $10^{-12} \exp[-(480 \pm 70)/T]$ (8.61 ± 0.47) x $10^{-13}$	240-440 296	Wallington and Kurylo, 1987	FP-RF
$(1.01 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-12}$	$298 \pm 2$	McCaulley et al., 1989	DF-LIF
5.89 x $10^{-20} T^{2.65} \exp(444/T)$ (9.34 ± 0.41) x $10^{-13}$	294-866 294	Hess and Tully, 1989	PLP-LIF
$(9.0 \pm 0.9) \ge 10^{-13}$	$298 \pm 2$	Nelson et al., 1990	PR-RA
$(8.64 \pm 1.30) \ge 10^{-12}$	$1205\pm16$	Bott and Cohen, 1991	SH-RA
$3.6 \ge 10^{-12} \exp[-(415 \pm 70)/T]$ (9.3 ± 1.1) \times 10^{-13}	235-360 298	Jiménez et al., 2003	PLP-LIF
$6.67 \ge 10^{-18} T^2 \exp[(140 \pm 14)/T]$	210-351	Dillon et al., 2005	PLP-LIF
$(9.3 \pm 0.7) \ge 10^{-13}$	$298\pm1$		
Relative Rate Coefficients			
$(9.0 \pm 0.7) \ge 10^{-13}$	$300 \pm 3$	Tuazon et al., 1983	RR (a)
$(9.3 \pm 2.2) \ge 10^{-13}$	$298 \pm 2$	Nelson et al., 1990	RR (b)
$(8.6 \pm 0.8) \ge 10^{-13}$	$298\pm4$	Picquet et al., 1998	RR (c)
$(9.6 \pm 1.0) \ge 10^{-13}$	$298 \pm 2$	Oh and Andino, 2001	RR(d)
$(8.4 \pm 0.7) \ge 10^{-13}$	$298 \pm 2$	Oh and Andino, 2001	RR (b)
$(7.88 \pm 0.47) \ge 10^{-13}$	$296 \pm 2$	Sørensen et al., 2002	RR (e,f)
$(8.18 \pm 0.52) \ge 10^{-13}$	$296\pm2$	Sørensen et al., 2002	RR (e,g)
Branching Ratios			
$k_2/k = 0.15 \pm 0.08$	$298\pm2$	McCaulley et al., 1989	(h)

# Rate coefficient data ( $k = k_1 + k_2$ )

# Comments

(a) HO radicals were generated by the dark reaction of hydrazine with O<sub>3</sub> in air. The concentrations of methanol and dimethyl ether (the reference compound) were measured by FTIR spectroscopy. The measured rate coefficient ratio of  $k(\text{HO} + \text{methanol})/k(\text{HO} + \text{dimethyl ether}) = 0.314 \pm 0.024$  is placed on an absolute basis using the rate coefficient  $k(\text{HO} + \text{dimethyl ether}) = 2.86 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^3$  molecule<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> at 300 K (IUPAC, current recommendation).

- (b) HO radicals were generated by the photolysis of CH<sub>3</sub>ONO in air at >300 nm. The concentrations of methanol and cyclohexane (the reference compound) were measured by GC. The measured rate coefficient ratio of k(HO + methanol)/k(HO + cyclohexane) is placed on an absolute basis using a rate coefficient of  $k(\text{HO} + \text{cyclohexane}) = 6.97 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^3$  molecule<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> at 298 K (Atkinson, 2003).
- (c) HO radicals were generated by the photolysis of  $H_2O_2$  in air at 254 and 310 nm. The concentrations of methanol and *n*-pentane (the reference compound) were measured by GC. The measured rate coefficient ratio, k(HO + methanol)/k(HO + n-pentane), is placed on an absolute basis using a rate coefficient of  $k(HO + n-pentane) = 3.80 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^3$  molecule<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> at 298 K (Atkinson, 2003).
- (d) HO radicals were generated by the photolysis of CH<sub>3</sub>ONO in air at >300 nm. The concentrations of methanol and ethanol (the reference compound) were measured by GC. The measured rate coefficient ratio of  $k(\text{HO} + \text{methanol})/k(\text{HO} + \text{ethanol}) = 0.30 \pm 0.03$  is placed on an absolute basis using a rate coefficient of  $k(\text{HO} + \text{ethanol}) = 3.2 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^3$  molecule<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> at 298 K (IUPAC, current recommendation).
- (e) HO radicals were generated by the photolysis of CH<sub>3</sub>ONO in air at 1.0 bar (750 Torr). The concentrations of methanol and acetylene or ethene (the reference compounds) were measured by in situ FTIR spectroscopy. The measured rate coefficient ratios of  $k(\text{HO} + \text{methanol})/k(\text{HO} + \text{acetylene}) = 1.01 \pm 0.06$  and  $k(\text{HO} + \text{methanol})/k(\text{HO} + \text{ethene}) = 0.095 \pm 0.006$  are placed on an absolute basis using rate coefficients of  $k(\text{HO} + \text{acetylene}) = 7.8 \times 10^{-13} \text{ cm}^3$  molecule<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> at 750 Torr pressure of air or O<sub>2</sub> and 296 ± 2 K (Sørensen et al., 2003) and  $k(\text{HO} + \text{ethene}) = 8.61 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^3$  molecule<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> at 296 K and atmospheric pressure of air (Atkinson, 1997). No effect of the presence of NaCl or NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> aerosol was observed.
- (f) Relative to acetylene.
- (g) Relative to ethene.
- (h) Derived from measurements of the rate coefficients for the reactions of the HO radical with CH<sub>3</sub>OH, CD<sub>3</sub>OH and CD<sub>3</sub>OD and of the DO radical with CH<sub>3</sub>OH, CH<sub>3</sub>OD, CD<sub>3</sub>OH and CD<sub>3</sub>OD, assuming that secondary kinetic isotope effects are negligible.

#### **Preferred Values**

 $k = 9.0 \times 10^{-13} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ at } 298 \text{ K}.$   $k = 2.85 \times 10^{-12} \exp(-345/T) \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ over the temperature range } 210-300 \text{ K}.$  $k_2/k = 0.15 \text{ at } 298 \text{ K}.$ 

# Reliability

 $\Delta \log k = \pm 0.08$  at 298 K.  $\Delta (E/R) = \pm 150$  K.  $\Delta k_2/k = \pm 0.10$  at 298 K.

# Comments on Preferred Values

The preferred rate coefficient is obtained by fitting the absolute rate coefficients of Wallington and Kurylo (1987), Hess and Tully (1989), Jiménez et al. (2003) and Dillon et al. (2005) to the three parameter expression  $k = CT^2 \exp(-D/T)$ . This results in  $k = 6.38 \times 10^{-18}$   $T^2 \exp(144/T) \text{ cm}^3$  molecule<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> over the temperature range 210-866 K. The preferred Arrhenius expression,  $k = A \exp(-B/T)$ , is centered at 245 K, and is derived from the three parameter equation with  $A = C e^2 T^2$  and B = D + 2T. Note that the Arrhenius expression should not be used outside of the stated temperature range (210-300 K); rather the three-parameter expression  $k = 6.38 \times 10^{-18} T^2 \exp(144/T)$  cm<sup>3</sup> molecule<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> should be employed. The absolute room temperature rate coefficients of Overend and Paraskevopoulos (1978), Ravishankara et al. (1978), McCaulley et al. (1989) and Nelson et al. (1990) are in good agreement with the preferred values, as are the room temperature relative rate coefficients of Tuazon et al. (1983), Nelson et al. (1990), Picquet et al. (1998), Oh and Andino (2001) and Sørensen et al. (2002).

The kinetic (McCaulley et al., 1989) and product (Hägele et al., 1983; Meier et al., 1984) studies show that the reaction proceeds mainly by channel (1) at room temperature, as expected from the thermochemistry of the reaction pathways (1) and (2).

#### References

Atkinson, R.: J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 26, 215, 1997.

Atkinson, R.: Atmos. Chem. Phys. 3, 2233, 2003.

Bott, J. F. and Cohen, N.: Int. J. Chem. Kinet. 23, 1075, 1991.

Dillon, T. J., Hölscher, D., Sivakumaran, V., Horowitz, A. and Crowley, J. N.: Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 7, 349, 2005.

Hägele, J., Lorenz, K., Rhäsa, D. and Zellner, R.: Ber. Bunsenges. Phys. Chem. 87, 1023, 1983.

Hess, W. P. and Tully, F. P.: J. Phys. Chem. 93, 1944, 1989.

IUPAC,: http://iupac.pole-ether.fr, 2013.

Jiménez, E., Gilles, M. K. and Ravishankara, A. R.: J. Photochem. Photobiol. A: Chem. 157, 237, 2003.

McCaulley, J. A., Kelly, N., Golde, M. F. and Kaufman, F.: J. Phys. Chem. 93, 1014, 1989.

Meier, U., Grotheer, H. H. and Just, Th.: Chem. Phys. Lett. 106, 97, 1984.

Nelson, L., Rattigan, O., Neavyn, R., Sidebottom, H., Treacy, J. and Nielsen, O. J.: Int. J. Chem. Kinet. 22, 1111, 1990.

Oh, S. and Andino, J. M.: Int. J. Chem. Kinet. 33, 422, 2001.

Overend, R. and Paraskevopoulos, G.: J. Phys. Chem. 82, 1329, 1978.

Picquet, B., Heroux, S., Chebbi, A., Doussin, J.-F., Durand-Jolibois, R., Monod, A., Loirat, H. and Carlier, P.: Int. J. Chem. Kinet. 30, 839, 1998.

Ravishankara, A. R. and Davis, D. D.: J. Phys. Chem. 82, 2852, 1978.

Sørensen, M., Hurley, M. D., Wallington, T. J., Dibble, T. S. and Nielsen, O. J.: Atmos. Environ. 36, 5947, 2002.

Sørensen, M., Kaiser, E. W., Hurley, M. D., Wallington, T. J. and Nielsen, O. J.: Int. J. Chem. Kinet. 35, 191, 2003.

Tuazon, E. C., Carter, W. P. L., Atkinson, R. and Pitts Jr., J. N.: Int. J. Chem. Kinet. 15, 619, 1983.

Wallington, T. J. and Kurylo, M. J.: Int. J. Chem. Kinet. 19, 1015, 1987.

